Office of the Yavapai County Attorney

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

Sheila Polk, SBN 007514 County Attorney ycao@co.yavapai.az.us

2010 OCT 22 AM 10: 37

Attorneys for STATE OF ARIZONA

... Ivy Rios

L. M. Ehri

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

STATE OF ARIZONA,

V1300CR201080049

Plaintiff,

STATE'S BENCH MEMORANDUM RE: WITHDRAWAL OF 404(B) NOTICE

VS.

RELATING TO NON-SWEAT LODGE
EVENTS and

JAMES ARTHUR RAY.

NOTICE OF EXPERT TESTIMONY PURSUANT RULE 703, ARIZ. R. EVID.

Defendant.

(The Honorable Warren Darrow)

Comes now the State of Arizona, through undersigned counsel, and respectfully provides notice to Court and counsel that the State withdraws its 404b Notice of Intent only with respect to injuries occurring at non-sweat lodge James Ray events. Reasons in support are more fully set forth below.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. Withdrawal of Notice of Intent Pursuant to Rule 404B: Evidence of Injuries Occurring at JRI Non-Sweat Lodge Events Only.

In the State's Response to Defendant's Motion in Limine (No. 1) to Exclude Evidence of Prior Acts Pursuant to Ariz. R. Evid. 404(B) and 403, the State noticed its intent to introduce at trial evidence of injuries occurring at non-sweat lodge events conducted by James Ray. The State withdraws that intent at this time and limits its Notice of Intent to Introduce 404b Acts to the injuries and circumstances relating to the JRI prior sweat lodges, including those conducted in 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008.

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney

771-3110 (928) Facsimile: 255 E. Gurley Street Phone: (928) 771-3344

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

B. Expert Testimony Will Include Review of Injuries and Behaviors from Other Events

To date, the State has retained two expert witnesses to testify at trial. Mr. Rick Ross will present testimony relating to techniques used in Large Group Awareness Training (LGAT) and Neuro-Linguistic Programming (NLP) to aid the jury in understanding the group dynamics during the JRI Spiritual Warrior Seminar in the sweat lodge ceremonies. In preparing for his testimony, it is expected Mr. Ross will review video and other documentation, which will be provided to Defendant, relating to several JRI events. Evidence relating to these events as relied upon by Mr. Ross is admissible pursuant to Rule 703, Ariz. R. Evidence, subject to the balancing test set forth in Rule 403, Ariz. R. Evidence.

Rule 703, Ariz. R. Evid., "allows an expert to testify as to his opinion based on 'facts or data' not in evidence but perceived or 'known' to him before the hearing." State v. Lundstrom, 161 Ariz. 141, 145, 776 P.2d 1067, 1071 (1989). See also State v. Wood, 180 Ariz. 53, 66, 881 P.2d 1158, 1171 (1994) ("Subject to Rule 403 limitations, expert witnesses may disclose facts not otherwise admissible if they form a basis for their opinions and are of a type normally relied on by experts." (citing Rule 703, Ariz. R. Evid.; Lundstrom, at 145, 776 P.2d at 1071.)). "Facts or data underlying the testifying expert's opinion are admissible for the limited purpose of showing the bases of that opinion, not to prove the truth of the matter asserted." Lundstrom at 148, 776 P.2d 1067, 1074, (citing Lynn v. Helitec Corp., 144 Ariz. 564, 568, 698 P.2d 1283, 1287 (App. 1984.) Rule 703, Ariz. R. Evid., "permits wide latitude in expert testimony to allow explanation of the facts underlying an opinion." Mohave Elec. Co-op., Inc v. Byers, 189 Ariz. 292, 302, 942 P.2d 451, 461 (App. 1997).

At this time, the State has not provided any materials to Mr. Ross to review for trial. Once the State identifies the materials for Mr. Ross to review, the materials will be identified to

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street

Prescott, AZ

1

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 771-3110 10 11 12 13 14 Phone: (928) 771-3344 RESPECTFULLY submitted this 15 16 17 18 **COPIES** of the foregoing emailed this 22ndday of October, 2010: 19 Hon. Warren Darrow 20 Dtroxell@courts.az.gov 21 Thomas Kelly 22 tkkelly@thomaskellypc.com 23 Truc Do Tru.Do@mto.com 24 25

By: Kathy Ourer

Defendant and, if not previously disclosed, disclosed. Prior to trial, Defendant will have an opportunity to interview Mr. Ross regarding his opinion and testimony.

Once Mr. Ross's review is complete, the State will move this Court to perform the balancing test in Rule 403 and to find the evidence admissible. "Under Rule 403, a trial court may weigh the value of admitting the facts or data sought to be disclosed as a basis of an expert opinion against the dangers of unfair prejudice arising from such disclosure." Lundstrom, 161 Ariz. at 148, 776 P.2d at 1074. At this time, such a motion is premature.

The purpose of this Memorandum is to provide notice to this Court and Defendant of the State's decision to withdraw its notice of intent regarding Rule 404b evidence of the injuries that have occurred at James Ray non-sweat lodge events. Notwithstanding this decision, evidence relating to other James Ray events may still be admissible through the testimony of expert witness Rick Ross, pursuant to Rule 703, Ariz. R. Evidence.

> day of October, 2010. YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY

COPIES of the foregoing delivered this day of October, 2010, to

Thomas Kelly Via courthouse mailbox

> Truc Do Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP 355 S. Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560

> > Via U.S. Mail

By: Kathy Durel